

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/973,773	YUN, HWA YOUNG	
	Examiner KIEU-OANH BUI	Art Unit 2623	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) KIEU-OANH BUI.

(3) _____

(2) Ms. ESTHER CHONG.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 8, 9, 12, 17, 28

Prior art documents discussed:

Goldschmidt Iki et al. (US. 6,594,825 B1) and Haraguchi (U.S 5,721,803)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative and the Examiner discussed on the new amendment and the prior art; the Examiner agreed that prior arts don't meet on the new amendment. The Examiner will update search and another office action will be forth coming.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KIEU-OANH BUI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)